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Recommendation X:

Publication date:

Reiteration date:

A. LEVEL OF PRIORITY ASSIGNED TO THIS RECOMMENDATION:

1. Please indicate the level of priority that your country assigns to this recommendation (Low/Medium/High) and a rationale for this prioritization.

   Level of Priority:

   Rationale:

B. Please provide the following information regarding the status of the recommendation. (Check either 1, 2, or 3.)

1. COMPLETE _____

   Please indicate what actions were taken to fulfill the recommendation.

2. IN PROGRESS (1) _____

   a. Please indicate what has your country done to date to complete the recommendation.
b. Please indicate what steps are left in order to complete the implementation of the recommendation:

3. NOT STARTED

a. Please indicate a chronological plan to fully implement the recommendation.

b. Please indicate the measures that will be taken to fully implement the recommendation (4):

c. Please indicate the reasons why your country has not started to implement this recommendation:
d. Please indicate any substantial \(^{(4)}\) problems encountered in its implementation:

C. Observations\(^{(5)}\):

Notes and Definitions

\(^{(1)}\) **In progress**: means the implementation is being executed. Please do not include in this category those recommendations where there is only a designed project or an approved plan.

\(^{(2)}\) **Chronological plan** is a series of steps in sequential order indicating an approximate date for the completion of the recommendation. For some recommendations this could require a year or more. If a longer timeframe is foreseen, please provide an estimate.

\(^{(3)}\) As used here **substantial** could refer to whatever obstacle materially impeded the implementation. It refers to whatever the country believes constituted this condition, whether or not the recommendation is reported as completely implemented.

\(^{(4)}\) This space is intended to permit a full account in cases of greater complexity or where the country believes a more detailed description would be justified or be useful to others facing similar conditions.

\(^{(5)}\) Beyond the information already provided, this area enables the responding country to include information of any kind, which it considers essential to understanding the response to the recommendation, such as a lack of resources.