



17th St. & Constitution Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
United States of America

Organization of American States

P. 202.458.3000
www.oas.org

INTER-AMERICAN DRUG ABUSE
CONTROL COMMISSION

CICAD

Secretariat for Multidimensional Security

FIFTY-THIRD REGULAR SESSION
May 20-22, 2013
Washington, D.C.

OEA/Ser.L/XIV.2.53
CICAD/doc.2017/13rev.4
1 May 2013
Original: Spanish

(DRAFT PARAGRAPHS – CICAD)

DRAFT RESOLUTION
ADVANCING HEMISPHERIC SECURITY
A MULTIDIMENSIONAL APPROACH

**(REVIEWED BY THE INFORMAL WORKING GROUP ON APRIL 23 AND MAY 1, 2013 + 1 NEW
PARAGRAPH PROPOSED BY PERÚ)**

DRAFT RESOLUTION

**ADVANCING HEMISPHERIC SECURITY:
A MULTIDIMENSIONAL APPROACH**

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY,

1. HAVING SEEN (...)
2. RECALLING (...)
3. RECALLING ALSO (...)
4. REAFFIRMING (...)
5. REITERATING (...)
6. RECALLING (...)
7. REITERATING (...)
8. UNDERSCORING (...)
9. EXPRESSING SATISFACTION (...)
10. ALSO EXPRESSING SATISFACTION (...)
11. BEARING IN MIND (...)

RESOLVES:

- I. ACTIVITIES OF THE COMMITTEE ON HEMISPHERIC SECURITY
AND MEMBER STATES

(...)

II. OBSERVATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ANNUAL REPORTS OF THE ORGANS, AGENCIES, AND ENTITIES OF THE ORGANIZATION (ARTICLE 91.F OF THE CHARTER OF THE ORGANIZATION OF AMERICAN STATES):

Inter-American Drug Abuse Control Commission (CICAD)

1. To encourage all member states to take steps to align their drug control policies with the Hemispheric Drug Strategy and Plan of Action 2011-2015, to be evaluated through the MEM's Sixth Round [See below].

[Guatemala proposed: "To encourage all member states to take steps to develop and guide their drug control policies in a more comprehensive manner, in line with the Declaration of Antigua, Guatemala, the Report on the Drug Problem in the Americas and with the Hemispheric Drug Strategy and Plan of Action 2011-2015."] [Ecuador suggested citing separately the Declaration of Antigua and the Report on the Drug Problem in the Americas.]

[USA proposed to keep the last phrase, "... to be evaluated through the MEM's Sixth Round."]

[Argentina proposed deleting paragraph 1] [Argentina proposed this text: "To encourage member states to follow the guidelines in the Hemispheric Drug Strategy and Plan of Action 2011-2015, whose evaluation and monitoring is the responsibility of the Commission through ad hoc instruments, the MEM and other identified tools."]

2. To thank the work of the Inter-Governmental Working Group (IWG) of the Multilateral Evaluation Mechanism (MEM), headed by Costa Rica and Mexico, and to take note with satisfaction of the new evaluation instrument for the Sixth Round (CICAD/doc.1977/12), approved at the CICAD fifty-second regular session that took place in San Jose, Costa Rica in November of 2012, and to encourage the designation of national experts to form part of the Governmental Expert Group (GEG) and their full participation during the new Round. [approved May 1, 2013]

3. To urge member states to continue strengthening national, bilateral, sub-regional, regional, and international demand reduction plans and programs, [USA + Bolivia, Argentina and Brazil: "particularly in the areas of prevention and treatment,"] to guarantee a comprehensive and balanced approach to the world drug problem, [Guatemala proposed adding: "particularly in the areas of prevention plans and treatment programs,"] given that drug abuse [Colombia: misuse] [Argentina + Chile: use] [USA + Bolivia: abuse] [Guatemala proposed using "problematic use"] represents a social and health problem for the Hemisphere.

3 bis To recommend that member states continue their efforts to adopt or improve comprehensive, balanced measures aimed at reducing the availability of the illicit supply of drugs. (Based on principle 27 of the Hemispheric Drug Strategy). (New paragraph proposed by Peru)

4. To recommend that member states strengthen the capacity of their National Drug Authorities to coordinate and organize policies and the direct involvement of key public sectors

and other social actors, to address the needs of citizens and communities on drug issues [See below].

[USA proposed this text: “To recommend that member states strengthen the capacity of their National Drug Authorities [Argentina: remove “of their National Drug Authorities”] to develop [Argentina: remove “develop” and replace with “plan”], implement, and coordinate [Argentina: add “national drug”] policies, including the direct involvement [Argentina: remove “direct involvement” replace with “active participation”] of key public sectors, civil society organizations and other relevant social actors, as appropriate. [Argentina: remove “civil society organizations and other relevant social actors, as appropriate” replace with “and promote, where appropriate, the collaboration of civil society actors in the process of designing, implementing and updating national drug policies in this area”].

5. To note with satisfaction the expansion of the drug treatment court model, adapted to the needs of participating countries, and request member states to adopt strategies and programs that allow for alternatives to incarceration for drug dependent offenders.

[Guatemala + Uruguay proposed: “Urge member states to consider adopting strategies and programs that offer alternatives to incarceration for drug dependent offenders, including, among others, the drug treatment court model, adapted to the participating countries.”]

[Argentina + Colombia proposed: “To note the existence of positive national experiences derived from the drug treatment court model and request member states to explore ways to offer treatment, rehabilitation and social reinsertion of drug dependent offenders, as an alternative measure to criminal prosecution or imprisonment.”]

[Brazil proposed deleting paragraph 5]

6. To urge [Argentina proposed replacing “urge” for “encourage”] member states to consider the inclusion of strategies and specific programs that address the problem of drugs and social integration issues in highly vulnerable environments and populations.

[USA proposed this text: “To urge member states to consider the inclusion of strategies and specific programs that address the problem of drugs and social integration.”]

[Guatemala proposed this text: “To urge member states to consider the inclusion of strategies and specific programs targeting the welfare of individuals and their surroundings, to confront the drug problem, social integration, human rights, health and development in its surrounding environment and in highly vulnerable populations.”]

7. To recommend that member states strengthen their drug information networks in the context of the functions of the National Drug Observatories, in a broad and comprehensive sense, using all available sources as well as capabilities that countries possess through state institutions, including [USA proposed deleting: “including”] [USA proposed substituting with: “and”] universities, while paying particular attention to the emergence and early detection of new psychoactive substances. [See below]

[Argentina proposed this text: “...strengthen the drug information networks, particularly, the national drug observatories or other similar technical offices for the development of national information systems on drugs, and to promote scientific investigation on that matter, with the collaboration of academic and research institutions and specialized non-governmental organizations (NGOs), including the implementation of early warning systems.”]

[Brazil proposed deleting paragraph 7]

8. To take note of the 2012 Annual Report of the Inter-American Drug Abuse Control Commission (CICAD) to the General Assembly (CICAD/doc.2016/13 Rev.X) and to congratulate CICAD on the progress made.

9. To endorse the Inter-American Drug Abuse Control Commission (CICAD) Executive Secretariat’s work plan for 2013, in accordance with CICAD statutes and in line with the Hemispheric Drug Strategy and its Plan of Action 2011-2015, and to instruct the Executive Secretariat to carry out the corresponding activities and to continue providing technical support for capacity building in member states consistent with this work plan. **[approved May 1, 2013, ad referendum Argentina]**

10. To instruct the General Secretariat to continue providing, [Brazil proposed adding: “within existing resources”] the CICAD Executive Secretariat with necessary support, and to encourage member states, permanent observers, and other international donors to continue making voluntary contributions so that the Secretariat can continue implementing its mandates.

11. To express its satisfaction with the Professional Exchange Program being offered by the CICAD Executive Secretariat, and to encourage member states to continue to participate by presenting candidates for each term.