Global emergence of synthetic cannabinoids
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Background

The appearance of ‘herbal highs’ in the market is not a new phenomenon. Such products usually consisted of plant mixtures with little psychoactive effects. Since 2004, however, the composition of these herbal products seems to have substantially changed to include potent new psychoactive compounds known as synthetic cannabinoids.

Research on the mechanism of cannabis activity dates back several decades when molecules with similar behaviour to Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) were first examined. A synthetic analogue of THC, ‘HU-210’, was first synthesized in Israel in 1988[1] and is considered to have a potency of at least 100 times more than THC. Due to its similar chemical structure to THC, ‘HU-210’ is regarded as a ‘classical cannabinoid’ and has been found in synthetic cannabinoids sold in the United States and other countries.

Non-classical cannabinoids include cyclohexylphenols or 3-arylcyclohexanols (‘CP’ compounds). ‘CP’ compounds were developed as potential analgesics by a pharmaceutical company in the 1980s. Respondents to the UNODC questionnaire on NPS have reported the emergence of CP-47,497 and CP-47,497-C8 in numerous countries in all regions except Africa since 2009.
Other structurally dissimilar varieties of synthetic cannabinoids unrelated to THC have also emerged on the market. These include aminoalkylindoles, such as naphthoylindoles (e.g. JWH-018), phenylacetylindoles (e.g. JWH-250), and benzoylindoles (e.g. AM-2233). JWH-018, arguably the most widely known synthetic cannabinoid, belongs to the group of aminoalkylindoles and is considered to be three times as potent as THC. The JWH-compounds had been previously developed as test compounds in the research of receptor-drug interactions by Professor John William Huffman and his team in the United States.

While cannabis and THC are controlled under the international drug control treaties, none of the synthetic cannabinoids are under international control. However, several have been subject to control measures at the national level. In 2012 UN Member States identified JWH-018 as the most widespread synthetic cannabinoid, followed by JWH-073, JWH-250 and JWH-081, all of which are aminoalkylindoles.

**Description**


**Reported adverse effects**

While side effects of cannabis are well documented, data on human toxicity related to the use of synthetic cannabinoids remains limited. As with other NPS, products sold as synthetic cannabinoids often contain several chemicals in different concentrations, making it very difficult to determine substance-specific effects. Available knowledge on the toxicity of these compounds comes from scientific reports and clinical observations.

Health-related problems associated with the use of synthetic cannabinoids include cardiovascular problems and psychological disorders, and it appears that there may be carcinogenic potential with some of the metabolites of the substances contained in these products.

A study published in 2011 on the severe toxicity following synthetic cannabinoid ingestion suggested that JWH-018 could lead to seizures and tachyarrhythmia (irregular heartbeat). In a recent review of clinical reports, addiction and withdrawal symptoms similar to those seen with cannabis abuse were also linked to the use of synthetic cannabinoids. An analysis of synthetic cannabinoids in ‘spice-like’ herbal blends highlighted the increasing number of reports on suicides associated with preceding use of these products.

**Global emergence**

Canada, Japan, Liechtenstein, Mexico and Togo reported that synthetic cannabinoids appeared on their markets before 2008, while New Zealand reported their first appearance in 2008. In Europe, synthetic cannabinoids started to emerge on a larger scale in 2008 and 2009, with seven countries each year reporting their first appearance. In the Americas, synthetic cannabinoids were reported in
2009 from Chile and the United States. In Europe, the appearance of synthetic cannabinoids reached its peak in 2010 when ten countries reported these substances (Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Slovakia, Spain and Turkey). Outside Europe, Australia, Egypt, Israel and Hong Kong SAR reported their first emergence in 2010. Greece, Moldova, Mongolia and Singapore reported first appearance of synthetic cannabinoids in 2011.

Seizures

Synthetic cannabinoids are the most frequently seized NPS, with seizures reported from all regions. Over the last four years, seizures of synthetic cannabinoids have spread geographically. Whereas for 2009, only three countries (Finland, France and Germany) reported seizures of more than 1 kg of synthetic cannabinoids, that number had increased to 10 in 2010, 9 from Europe as well as the United States. In 2011, 16 countries reported seizures of synthetic cannabinoids, indicating a further spread to new regions, namely Oceania (New Zealand) and Asia (Saudi Arabia). Some countries reported particularly high increases, in the United States, for example, only 23 seizure cases were reported in 2009, rising to 22,000 cases in 2011.

Several European countries reported significant seizures of synthetic cannabinoids. In Germany, 261 kg of synthetic cannabinoids were seized in 2009. Cyprus, Hungary, Italy and Romania also reported seizures of more than 10 kg. In 2011, the EMCDDA reported that 20,000 packages containing several synthetic cannabinoids were seized at one facility in the Netherlands. [10]

Various countries initiated special operations targeting NPS. The Drug Enforcement Administration of the United States, for example, conducted a nationwide operation in July 2012 which resulted in the seizures of 4.8 million packages of synthetic cannabinoids as well as large quantities of synthetic cathinones.

Substances reported

UN Member States identified 60 different synthetic cannabinoids, the most frequently reported substance being JWH-018. The Republic of Korea reports that 74 per cent of all synthetic cannabinoids analysed by the Customs Laboratory between January 2009 to August 2012 belonged to the JWH class. [11] Similarly, data on synthetic cannabinoids submitted through the National Forensic Laboratory Information System (NFLIS) [12] of the United States, found that most belonged to the JHW class; in 2010, 63 per cent of them were identified as JWH-018, followed by JWH-250 (14%) and JWH-073 (9%).[13]
Top five synthetic cannabinoids reported to UNODC, up to 2012
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Please click here for a detailed list of synthetic cannabinoids reported up to 2012
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